

Меншік иесі және баспагер:
«Қазақстан Республикасының
Заңнама институты» ММ
2006 жылдан бастап шығады
Журналдың материалдары
www.iz.adilet.gov.kz

сайтында орналастырылған
Заң ғылымдары бойынша
диссертациялардың негізгі ғылыми
нәтижелерін жариялауға арналған
басылымдар тізіліміне енгізілген
(ҚР БҒМ БҒСБК 30.05.2013 ж.
№894 бұйрығы)

Редакциялық кеңес құрамы

Түкиев А.С. – з.ғ.к. (Астана,
Қазақстан) – (төраға)
Абайділдинов Е.М. – з.ғ.д. (Астана,
Қазақстан)
Абызов Р.М. – з.ғ.д. (Мәскеу, Ресей)
Белых В.С. – з.ғ.д. (Екатеринбург,
Ресей)
Губин Е.П. – з.ғ.д. (Мәскеу, Ресей)
Борчашвили И.Ш. – з.ғ.д. (Астана,
Қазақстан)
Мельник Р.С. – з.ғ.д. (Киев, Украина)
Моисеев А.А. – з.ғ.д. (Мәскеу, Ресей)
Муромцев Г.И. – з.ғ.д. (Мәскеу, Ресей)
Рахметов С.М. – з.ғ.д. (Астана,
Қазақстан)
Малиновский В.А. – з.ғ.д. (Астана,
Қазақстан)
Шерубай Құрманбайұлы – ф.ғ.д.
(Астана, Қазақстан)

Редакциялық алқа құрамы

Құлжабаева Ж.О. – з.ғ.к. (Астана,
Қазақстан) – (төраға)
Абылайұлы А. – PhD (Астана,
Қазақстан)
Азер Алиев – PhD (Киль, Германия)
Айымбетов М.А. – ҚР еңбек сіңірген
мәдениет қайраткері (Астана,
Қазақстан)
Байниязова З.С. – з.ғ.к. (Саратов,
Ресей)
Досмырза Д.Д. – з.ғ.к. (Астана,
Қазақстан)
Елеусізова И.Қ. – з.ғ.к. (Астана,
Қазақстан)
Казиев З.Ғ. – з.ғ.к. (Астана, Қазақстан)
Қоныс В.Т. – з.ғ.к. (Астана,
Қазақстан)
Маммадов У.Ю. – з.ғ.к. (Қазан, Ресей)
Мұшанов Т.Е. (Астана, Қазақстан)
Рахымбердин К.Х. – з.ғ.д. (Өскемен,
Қазақстан)
Свободный Ф.К. – з.ғ.к. (Барнаул,
Ресей)
Тегізбекова Ж.Ч. – з.ғ.к. (Бишкек,
Қырғызстан)
Тілембаева Ж.О. – з.ғ.к. (Астана,
Қазақстан)

Журнал редакциясы

Қолтубаева Г.Б.
Ермек А.Б.
Жұмагелдина Б.Ж.
Байленова А.Қ.
Джамбуршин К.А.
Қазақстан Республикасы Мәдениет
және ақпарат министрлігі Ақпарат
және мұрағат комитетінің
БАҚ есепке қою туралы куәлігі
№ 11219-Ж 15.11.2010 ж.
(Алғашқы есепке қою кезіндегі нөмірі
мен мерзімі №6592-Ж. 07.09.2005 ж.)

Мекен-жайы:

Қазақстан Республикасы,
010000, Астана қ., Мәңгілік ел даңғ.,
8 үй
тел.: 8(7172)74-02-06;
факс: 8(7172)74-14-43
E-mail: instzak-kz@mail.ru,
institutzakonodatelstva@gmail.com
www.iz.adilet.gov.kz

МАЗМҰНЫ

Редакция бағанасы 8

ҚР Әділет министрі М.Б. Бекетаевтың «ҚР кейбір
конституциялық заңдарына мемлекеттік билік тармақтары ара-
сында өкілеттіктерді қайта бөлу мәселелері бойынша өзгерістер
мен толықтырулар енгізу туралы» Конституциялық заңның жобасы
бойынша баяндамасы 11

«Жаршының» мұрағат беттері

Ғ.С. САПАРҒАЛИЕВ Қазақстан Республикасы халықаралық
құқығының өзекті проблемалары 13

А. СӘЛІМГЕРЕЙ Қазақстан Республикасы халықаралық
құқығының өзекті проблемалары және қазақстандық халықаралық
ғылыммен өзара іс-қимыл мәселелері 22

Конституциялық және әкімшілік құқық

К.А. ИШЕКОВ (Мәскеу қ., Ресей) Адами өлшем тұрғысында
жаңғырту процестері 34

Й. ПУДЕЛЬКА, Й. ДЕППЕ (Берлин қ., Германия) Орталық
Азиядағы даму процесіндегі жалпы әкімшілік құқық 40

Азаматтық, азаматтық процестік құқық

Х.-Й. ШРАММ (Висмар қ., Германия) Мәмілелердің
жарамсыздығы және азаматтық-құқықтық айналымның
тұрақтылығы 50

M. KRAUSENBOECK Common law, civilian legal systems and
mixed legal systems: implications for the implementation of elements
of english law in Kazakhstan (Жалпы құқық, азаматтық құқықтық
жүйелер мен аралас құқықтық жүйелер: Қазақстанда ағылшын
құқығы элементтерін пайдалану салдары) 59

А. АЛИЕВ (Киль қ., Германия) Ағылшын, неміс, ресей және
эзербайжан құқығында мән-жайлар елеулі өзгерген кезде шарт
бостандығын шектеу 66

Е.В. НЕСТЕРОВА Ағылшын құқығы ережелері және
еуропалық жеке құқықтың модельдік қағидалары негізінде
Қазақстан Республикасының заңнамасы бойынша шартты бұзғаны
үшін жауапкершілікті құқықтық реттеуді жетілдіру бағыттары 78

В.Т. ҚОНЫС, М.М. ТАСТАНОВА Ратификацияланған
халықаралық шарттардағы иммигрант балалардың тууын және атын
тіркеу құқығы туралы нормаларды Қазақстан Республикасының
ұлттық заңнамасына имплементтеудің кейбір мәселелері туралы 86

С. Ж. ӘБДІРАХМАНОВА «Адалдық» және «эстоппель»
ұғымдарының арақатынасы 96

Қылмыстық құқық және қылмыстық процесс

С.М. РАХМЕТОВ Сыбайлас жемқорлыққа қарсы күрес
мәселелері бойынша халықаралық конвенциялардың нормаларын
Қазақстан Республикасының қылмыстық заңнамасына импле-
менттеу барысы туралы 102

А.Н. АХПАНОВ, А.Л. ХАН Қорғалуға құқығы бар куә
адвокатының қылмыстық істер бойынша міндетті қатысуы
жағдайлары туралы 110

Ш.Ж. КЕНЖЕТАЕВ Қазақстан Республикасының
қылмыстық процестік кодексіндегі куәгер 116

О.В. КОЛОС (Ирпень қ., Украина) Қылмыстық құқық
бұзушылықтардың бірнеше рет жасалуы: заңнамалық дефиниция
проблемасы 122

Ф.М. РАХМИТОВ Қазақстан Республикасында адвокаттар
қызметін одан әрі жетілдіру мәселесіне 129

Халықаралық құқық және салыстырмалы құқықтану

М.А. СӨРСЕМБАЕВ ХЕҰ конвенцияларының және
қазақстандық еңбек құқығының нормаларын жетілдіру қажеттігі
туралы 135

С.Ж. АЙДАРБАЕВ ТМД Экономикалық Сотының құқықтық
мәртебесі проблемалары (Сотты реформалау) 140

А. АБЫЛАЙҰЛЫ Қазақстан Республикасында «халықаралық шарттардың қолданылу тәртібі мен талаптарын» түсіндірудің кейбір мәселелері.....	147
Ж.Ч. ТЕГІЗБЕКОВА (Бішкек қ., Қырғыз Республикасы) Қарулы қақтығыс және ішкі шиеленіс жағдайлары кезеңінде отбасы құқықтарын халықаралық-құқықтық қорғау.....	156
А. PECORARO (Италия) The reach of international investment agreements: Kazakhstan and the umbrella clause (Халықаралық инвестициялық келісімдерге қол жеткізу: Қазақстан және «қолшатыр ескертпесі»).....	166
Құқықтық мониторинг	
И.Қ. ЕЛЕУСІЗОВА, А.Ө. ҚАЛИЕВА Қазақстан Республикасының банктік заңнамасына құқықтық мониторингтің кейбір мәселелері.....	180
А.Қ. ҚАНАТОВ, Е.К. АХМЕТОВ Есірткі айналымы саласындағы мемлекеттік саясаттың проблемалық аспектілеріне (заңнаманың мониторингі).....	190
Г.Б. ҚЫСЫҚОВА, Н.Н. ОМАРОВА Қазақстан Республикасының арнайы экономикалық аймақтар туралы заңнамасының жеке нормаларын басқа елдердің заңнамасымен салыстыру: құқықтық мониторинг шеңберіндегі талдау.....	198
Мемлекеттік тілдегі заң шығармашылығы практикасынан	
М.А. АЙЫМБЕТОВ Заңнама термині: нақтылық және үйлесімділік.....	206
Д.М. ШЫҢҒЫСБАЕВА, Н.Ә. БАЙКӘРІМОВА ҚР Азаматтық кодексінің мемлекеттік тілдегі мәтінін стилистикалық тұрғыдан зерделеу.....	210
Жас ғалым мінбері	
Ф.Р. АХМЕДЖАНОВ Сотқа дейінгі іс жүргізуде сот бақылауының шектерін кеңейту бойынша шаралар туралы.....	215
Қ.Қ. САБИРОВ Жанжалды шешудің баламалы тәсілдерін құқықтық реттеуде бірыңғай тәсіл қажеттілігі туралы.....	220
Ғылыми өмір хроникасы	
2017 жылғы 16 наурызда Астана қ. Н.А. Шәйкеновтің 70 жылдығына арналған «Құқықтық мемлекет құру процесінде жеке адам құқықтарын қамтамасыз ету» («Шәйкенов оқулары») тақырыбында өткен халықаралық ғылыми-практикалық конференция туралы ақпараттық хабарлама.....	226
2017 жылғы 11 сәуірде Алматы қ. Ғылым күніне арналған «Қазақстан ғылымы Жаңғырту:3.0 жағдайында» тақырыбында өткен форум туралы ақпараттық хабарлама.....	230
2017 жылғы 4 мамырда өткізілген «ҚР азаматтық заңнамасының өзекті өзгерістеріне шолу» атты вебинар туралы ақпараттық хабарлама.....	234
2017 жылғы 17 мамырда өткізілген «Заңдардың құқықтық мониторингінің ерекшеліктері» атты вебинар туралы ақпараттық хабарлама.....	236
2017 жылғы 25 мамырда өткізілген «Адвокаттардың қылмыстық процеске қатысуының өзекті мәселелері» атты вебинар туралы ақпараттық хабарлама.....	237
Библиография	
И. Елеусізова мен Д. Тұрлыбектің «Контрафактная продукция и параллельный импорт в Республике Казахстан и иных странах Евразийского Экономического Союза» тақырыбындағы монографиясына рецензия (LAP Lambert Academic Publishing, 2017).....	239
«Международная интеграция и интеграционное право» / В.А. Шамахов, В.П. Киреленко, С.Ю. Кашкиннің жалпы ред. - СПб., 2017. – 880 б. оқулығына рецензия.....	240
Даналық ойлар	242



Собственник и издатель:

ГУ «Институт законодательства
Республики Казахстан»

Издается с 2006 года

Все материалы журнала размещаются
на сайте www.iz.adilet.gov.kz
Включен в перечень изданий
для публикации основных научных
результатов диссертаций
по юридическим наукам
(Приказ ККСОН МОН РК №894
от 30.05.2013 г.)

Состав Редакционного совета

Тукчиев А.С. – к.ю.н. (Астана, Казах-
стан) – (председатель)
Абайдельдинов Е.М. – д.ю.н. (Астана,
Казахстан)

Абызов Р.М. – д.ю.н. (Москва, Россия)

Белых В.С. – д.ю.н. (Екатеринбург,
Россия)

Губин Е.П. – д.ю.н. (Москва, Россия)

Мурчаев И.Ш. – д.ю.н. (Астана,
Казахстан)

Мельник Р.С. – д.ю.н. (Киев, Украина)

Моисеев А.А. – д.ю.н. (Москва, Россия)

Муромцев Г.И. – д.ю.н. (Москва,
Россия)

Рахметов С.М. – д.ю.н. (Астана, Ка-
захстан)

Малиновский В.А. – д.ю.н. (Астана,
Казахстан)

Шерубай Курманбайұлы – д.ф.н.
(Астана, Казахстан)

Состав Редакционной коллегии

Кулжабаева Ж.О. – к.ю.н. (Астана,
Казахстан) – (председатель)

Абылайұлы А. – PhD (Астана, Казах-
стан)

Азер Алиев – PhD (Киль, Германия)

Айымбетов М.А. – заслуженный дея-
тель культуры РК (Астана, Казахстан)

Байниязова З.С. – к.ю.н. (Саратов,
Россия)

Досмырза Д.Д. – к.ю.н. (Астана, Ка-
захстан)

Елеусизова И.К. – к.ю.н. (Астана,
Казахстан)

Казиев З.Г. – к.ю.н. (Астана, Казах-
стан)

Конусова В.Т. – к.ю.н. (Астана, Казах-
стан)

Маммадов У.Ю. – к.ю.н. (Казань,
Россия)

Мушанов Т.Е. (Астана, Казахстан)

Рахимбердин К.Х. – д.ю.н. (Усть-
Каменогорск, Казахстан)

Свободный Ф.К. – к.п.с.н. (Барнаул,
Россия)

Тегизбекова Ж.Ч. – к.ю.н. (Бишкек,
Кыргызстан)

Тлембаева Ж.У. – к.ю.н. (Астана,
Казахстан)

Редакция журнала

Колтубаева Г.Б.

Ермек А.Б.

Жумагелдина Б.Ж.

Байленова А.К.

Джамбуриш К.А.

Свидетельство о постановке
на учет СМИ № 11219-Ж
от 15.11.2010 г. Комитета информации
и архивов Министерства культуры
и информации Республики Казахстан
(№ и дата первичной постановки на учет
№6592-Ж. 07.09.2005 г.)

Адрес:

Республика Казахстан,
010000, г. Астана, пр. Мәңгілік ел, д. 8
тел.: 8(7172)74-02-06;
факс: 8(7172)74-14-43

E-mail: instzak-kz@mail.ru,
institutzakonodatelstva@gmail.com

www.iz.adilet.gov.kz

СОДЕРЖАНИЕ**Колонка редакции** 9

Доклад Министра юстиции РК М.Б. Бекетаева по проекту
Конституционного Закона «О внесении изменений и дополнений в не-
которые конституционные законы Республики Казахстан по вопро-
сам перераспределения полномочий между ветвями государственной
власти» 11

Архивные страницы «Вестника»

Г.С. САПАРГАЛИЕВ Актуальные проблемы международного
права Республики Казахстан 13

А. САЛИМГЕРЕИ Актуальные проблемы международного
права Республики Казахстан и вопросы взаимодействия с казахстан-
ской международно-правовой наукой 22

Конституционное и административное право

К.А. ИШЕКОВ (г. Москва, Россия) Модернизационные про-
цессы в контексте человеческого измерения 34

Й. ПУДЕЛЬКА, Й. ДЕППЕ (г. Берлин, Германия) Общее ад-
министративное право в Центральной Азии в процессе развития 40

Гражданское и гражданско-процессуальное право

Х.-Й. ШРАММ (г. Висмар, Германия) Недействительность
сделок и стабильность гражданско-правового оборота 50

М. KRAUSENBÖCK Common law, civilian legal systems and
mixed legal systems: implications for the implementation of elements of
english law in Kazakhstan (Общее право, гражданские правовые си-
стемы и смешанные правовые системы: последствия для применения
элементов английского права в Казахстане) 59

А. АЛИЕВ (г. Киль, Германия) Ограничение свободы договора
при существенном изменении обстоятельств в английском, немецком,
русском и азербайджанском праве 66

Е.В. НЕСТЕРОВА Направления совершенствования правового
регулирования ответственности за нарушение договора по законода-
тельству Республики Казахстан на основе положений английского
права и модельных правил европейского частного права 78

В.Т. КОНУСОВА, М.М. ТАСТАНОВА О некоторых проблемах
имплементации норм ратифицированных международных договоров
о праве детей иммигрантов на регистрацию рождения и имя в наци-
ональное законодательство Республики Казахстан 86

С.Ж. АБДРАХМАНОВА Соотношение понятий «добросовест-
ность» и «эстоппель» 96

Уголовное право и уголовный процесс

С.М. РАХМЕТОВ О ходе имплементации норм международ-
ных конвенции по вопросам борьбы с коррупцией в уголовное за-
конодательство Республики Казахстан 103

А.Н. АХПАНОВ, А.Л. ХАН О случаях обязательного уча-
стия по уголовным делам адвоката свидетеля, имеющего право на
защиту 110

Ч.Д. КЕНЖЕТАЕВ Понятий в уголовно-процессуальном ко-
дексе Республики Казахстан 116

О.В. КОЛОС (г. Ирпень, Украина) Неоднократность уголов-
ных правонарушений: проблемность законодательной дефиниции 122

Ф.М. РАХМИТОВ О некоторых аспектах дальнейшего совер-
шенствования адвокатской деятельности в Республике Казахстан 129

Международное право и сравнительное правоведение

М.А. САРСЕМБАЕВ О необходимости совершенствования
норм конвенций МОТ и казахстанского трудового права 135

С.Ж. АЙДАРБАЕВ Проблемы правового статуса Экономиче-
ского Суда СНГ (Реформирование суда) 140

А.АБЫЛАЙҰЛЫ О некоторых вопросах толкования «поряд-
ка и условий действия международных договоров» в Республике
Казахстан 147

Ж.Ч. ТЕГИЗБЕКОВА (г. Бишкек, Кыргызская Республика) Международно-правовая защита семейных прав в период вооруженных конфликтов и ситуаций внутренней напряженности.....	156
--	-----

А. PECORARO (Италия) The reach of international investment agreements: Kazakhstan and the umbrella clause (Достижение международных инвестиционных соглашений: Казахстан и «зонтичная оговорка»).....	166
--	-----

Правовой мониторинг

И.К. ЕЛЕУСИЗОВА, А.У. КАЛИЕВА Некоторые вопросы правового мониторинга банковского законодательства Республики Казахстан.....	180
---	-----

А.К. КАНАТОВ, Е.К. АХМЕТОВ К проблемным аспектам государственной политики в сфере оборота наркотиков (мониторинг законодательства).....	190
--	-----

Г.Б. КЫСЫКОВА, Н.Н. ОМАРОВА Сравнение отдельных норм законодательства о специальных экономических зонах Республики Казахстан с законодательством иных стран: анализ в рамках правового мониторинга.....	198
--	-----

Из практики законотворчества на государственном языке

М. АЙЫМБЕТОВ Законодательный термин: конкретность и гармоничность.....	206
---	-----

Д.М. ШЫНГЫСБАЕВА, Н.А. БАЙКАРИМОВА Изучение стилистики текста Гражданского кодекса РК на государственном языке.....	210
--	-----

Трибуна молодого ученого

Ф.Р. АХМЕДЖАНОВ О мерах по расширению пределов судебного контроля в досудебном производстве.....	215
---	-----

К.К. САБИРОВ О необходимости единого подхода в правовом регулировании альтернативных способов разрешения конфликтов.....	220
---	-----

Хроника научной жизни

Информационное сообщение о международной научно-практической конференции на тему «Обеспечение прав личности в процессе формирования правового государства», посвященной 70-летию Шайкенова Н.А. («Шайкеновские чтения») 16 марта 2017 года, г. Астана.....	227
--	-----

Информационное сообщение о форуме «Наука Казахстана в условиях Модернизации: 3.0», посвященном Дню науки, 11 апреля 2017 года, г. Алматы.....	232
---	-----

Информационное сообщение о вебинаре на тему «Обзор актуальных изменений гражданского законодательства РК», 4 мая 2017 года.....	234
---	-----

Информационное сообщение о вебинаре на тему «Особенности проведения правового мониторинга законов», 17 мая 2017 года.....	236
---	-----

Информационное сообщение о вебинаре на тему «Актуальные вопросы участия адвокатов в уголовном процессе», 25 мая 2017 года.....	238
--	-----

Библиография

РЕЦЕНЗИЯ на монографию И. Елеусизовой и Д. Тұрлыбек на тему: «Контрафактная продукция и параллельный импорт в Республике Казахстан и иных странах Евразийского Экономического Союза» (LAP Lambert Academic Publishing, 2017).....	239
---	-----

РЕЦЕНЗИЯ на учебник «Международная интеграция и интеграционное право» / Под общ. ред. В.А. Шамахова, В.П. Киреленко, С.Ю. Кашкина. - СПб., 2017. - 880 с.....	240
---	-----

Мудрые мысли	242
---------------------------	-----



Owner and publisher:
SI «Legislation Institute
of the Republic of Kazakhstan»
Published since 2006
All journal materials are placed
on the website
www.iz.adilet.gov.kz

Included in the list of publications of basic
scientific results of dissertations on legal
sciences (Order CCES MES RK №894
from 05.30.2013)

Editorial Council members

Tukiyev A.S. – c.j.s. (Astana, Kazakhstan)
– (Chairman)
Abaydeldinov E.M. – d.j.s. (Astana,
Kazakhstan)
Abyzov R.M. – d.j.s. (Moscow, Russian)
Belih V.S. – d.j.s. (Ekaterinburg, Russian)
Gubin E.P. – d.j.s. (Moscow, Russian)
Borchashvili I.Sh. – d.j.s. (Astana, Ka-
zakhstan)
Melnik R.S. – d.j.s. (Kiev, Ukraine)
Moiseev A.A. – d.j.s. (Moscow, Russian)
Muromtsev G.I. – d.j.s. (Moscow, Russian)
Rahmetov S.M. – d.j.s. (Astana, Kazakh-
stan)
Malinovskiy V.A. – d.j.s. (Astana, Kazakh-
stan)
Sherubai Kurmanbaiuly – d.ph.s.
(Astana, Kazakhstan)

Editorial Board members

Kulzhabayeva Zh.O. – c.j.s. (Astana,
Kazakhstan) – (Chairman)
Abylaiuly A. – PhD (Astana, Kazakhstan)
Azer Aliyev – PhD (Kiel, Germany)
Aiyembetov M.A. – Honored Worker of
Culture of Kazakhstan, (Astana, Kazakh-
stan)
Bainiyazova Z.S. – c.j.s. (Saratov, Rus-
sian)
Dosmyrza D.D. – c.j.s. (Astana, Kazakh-
stan)
Eleussizova I.K. – c.j.s. (Astana, Kazakh-
stan)
Kazyev Z.G. – c.j.s. (Astana, Kazakh-
stan)
Konusova V.T. – c.j.s. (Astana, Kazakh-
stan)
Mammadov U.Y. – c.j.s. (Kazan', Rus-
sian)
Mushanov T.E. (Astana, Kazakhstan)
Rakhimberdin K.H. – d.j.s. (Ust-Kame-
nogorsk, Kazakhstan)
Svobodniy F.K. – c.ps.s. (Barnaul, Rus-
sian)
Tegizbekova Zh.Ch. – c.j.s. (Bishkek,
Kyrgyzstan)
Tlembaevya Zh.U. – c.j.s. (Astana, Ka-
zakhstan)

The editorial staff

Koltubayeva G.B.
Yermek A.B.
Zhumageldina B.Zh.
Bailenova A.K.
Dzhamburshin K.A.
The certificate of registration of mass media
№11219-G from 15.11.2010 from
the Committee of Information and Archives
of the Ministry of Culture and Information
of the Republic of Kazakhstan
(Number and date of primary registration
№6592-Zh.07.09.2005.)

Address:

The Republic of Kazakhstan,
010000, Astana, Mangilik el pr., 8
tel.: 8 (7172) 74-02-06
fax: 8 (7172) 74-14-43
e-mail: instzak-kz@mail.ru,
institutzakonodatelstva@gmail.com
www.iz.adilet.gov.kz

CONTENT

Editorial10

*Report of Minister of justice Beketayev M.B. on draft of
Constitutional Law «On introducing amendments and additions to
some constitutional laws of Republic of Kazakhstan on the issues of
redistribution of powers between the branches of state authority»*.....12

Archive «Bulletin» pages

G.SAPARGALIYEV Actual problems of international law of the
Republic of Kazakhstan.....13

A. SALIMGEREY Issues of international law in the Republic
of Kazakhstan and issues of interaction with kazakhstan international
legal science.....22

Constitutional and Administrative Law

K.A. ISHEKOV (Moscow, Russia) Modernization processes in
the context of the human dimension.....34

J. PUDELKA, J. DEPPE (Berlin, Germany) General
administrative law in Central Asia in the development process.....40

Civil and Civil Procedural Law

H.-J. SCHRAMM (Wismar, Germany) Invalidity of transactions
and stability of civil law turnover.....50

M. KRAUSENBOECK Common law, civilian legal systems and
mixed legal systems: implications for the implementation of elements
of English law in Kazakhstan.....59

A. ALIYEV (Keel, Germany) The restriction of freedom of
contract under a substantial change of circumstances in English,
German, Russian and Azerbaijani law.....66

Y.V. NESTEROVA The directions to improve legal regulation of
liability for breach of contract under the legislation of the Republic of
Kazakhstan based on the provisions of English law and the model rules
of European private law.....78

V.T. KONUSOVA, M.M. TASTANOVA Regarding some issues
of the implementation of the norms of ratified international treaties on
the right of immigrant children to register births and the name in the
national legislation of the Republic of Kazakhstan.....86

S.Zh. ABDRAKHMANOVA Relation of concepts of «Bona
fides» and «estoppel».....96

Criminal law and Criminal procedure

S.M. RAKHMETOV The implementation of the norms of the
international convention on combating corruption in the criminal
legislation of the Republic of Kazakhstan.....103

AN. AKHPANOV, A.L. KHAN About the instances of obligatory
participation in the criminal cases of an advocate of witness, having the
right of defense.....110

C.D. KENJETAEV The witness in the Criminal procedural code
the Republic of Kazakhstan.....116

O.V. KOLOS (Irpın, Ukraine) Repeated criminal offenses: the
problem of legal definition.....122

F.M. RAKHMITOV Issues of improvement of advocate's
activities in the Republic of Kazakhstan.....129

International and Comparative law

M.A. SARSEMBAYEV The need to improve standards of ILO
conventions and the Kazakhstan labor law.....135

C.Zh. AIDARBAYEV Problems of legal status of the Economic
Court of the CIS (Reform of the court).....140

A. ABYLAIULY Some questions of interpretation of the procedure and conditions of application of treaties in the Republic of Kazakhstan.....	147
Zh.Ch. TEGIZBEKOVA (Bishkek, Republic of Kyrgyzstan) The international legal protection of family rights in the period of armed conflicts and internal tensions.....	156
ALBERTO PECORARO (Italy) The reach of international investment agreements: Kazakhstan and the umbrella clause.....	166

Legal monitoring

I.K. ELEUSSIZOVA, A.U. KALIEVA Some issues of legal monitoring of banking legislation of the Republic of Kazakhstan.....	180
A.K. KANATOV, E.K. AKHMETOV Problematic aspects of the state policy in the scope of drug trafficking (monitoring of legislation).....	190
G.B. KYSSYKOVA, N.N. OMAROVA Comparison of separate standards of the legislation on special economic zones of the Republic of Kazakhstan with the legislation of other countries: the analysis within legal monitoring.....	198

Lawmaking practice in the official language

M. AYYMBETOV Terms of the law: specificity and harmony.....	206
D.M. SHYNGYSBAYEYA, N.A. BAIKARIMOVA Studying of stylistics of the text of the Civil code of the Republic of Kazakhstan in the state language.....	210

Young scholar tribune

F.R. AKHMEDZHANOV The measures for expanding the scope of judicial control in pre-trial proceedings.....	215
K.K. SABIROV The necessity of a single approach to legal regulation of certain alternative methods of conflict resolution.....	220

The Chronicle of Scientific Life

Information note about the International scientific and practical conference on «Ensuring the rights of the individual in the process of the formation of the rule-of-law state», dedicated to the 70th anniversary of N.A. Shaykenov («Shaykenov Readings») March 16, 2017, Astana.....	229
Information note about the forum «Science of Kazakhstan under the conditions of modernization: 3.0» Dedicated to the Day of Science, Almaty, April 11, 2017.....	233
Information note about the webinar on the topic: «Review of actual changes in civil legislation of the Republic of Kazakhstan», May 4, 2017.....	235
Information note about the webinar on the topic: «Features of the legal monitoring of laws», May 17, 2017.....	237
Information note about the webinar on the topic: «Actual issues of the participation of lawyers in criminal proceedings», May 25, 2017.....	238

Bibliography

REVIEW on the monograph of I. Yeleusizova and D. Turlybek on the topic: «Counterfeit products and parallel imports in the Republic of Kazakhstan and other countries of the Eurasian Economic Union» (LAP Lambert Academic Publishing, 2017).....	239
REVIEW on the «International Integration and Integration Law» textbook / Under the total. ed. of V.A. Shamakhov, V.P. Kirelenko, S.Yu. Kashkin. - SPb., 2017. - 880 p.....	240

Wise thoughts	242
----------------------------	-----





german
cooperation
DEUTSCHE ZUSAMMENARBEIT

Исполнитель:

giz Deutsche Gesellschaft
für Internationale
Zusammenarbeit (GIZ) GmbH

УДК 34.05

COMMON LAW, CIVILIAN LEGAL SYSTEMS AND MIXED LEGAL SYSTEMS: IMPLICATIONS FOR THE IMPLEMENTATION OF ELEMENTS OF ENGLISH LAW IN KAZAKHSTAN

Maria Krausenboeck

LL.B., LL.M., GIZ-Advisor on the programme «Promotion of the Rule of Law in Central Asia»

Keywords: common law systems; English law; implementation; mixed legal systems; national legal system; civil law.

Abstract: Kazakhstan currently experiences a turning point in its legal history: elements deriving of a common law system are to be implemented into the national legal system which has its roots in the civilian legal tradition. This move might mean that Kazakhstan is on the verge of becoming one of the 'mixed legal systems' which are a hybrid form of legal system at the intersection of common law and civil law. In order to shed light on what this implementation of elements of English law might mean for the Kazakhstan legal landscape, a brief overview of the typical characteristics of common law systems, civilian legal systems and – the rather rare – hybrid of mixed legal systems is presented. In addition, various ways in which elements of English law might be implemented in Kazakhstan are discussed.

ЖАЛПЫ ҚҰҚЫҚ, АЗАМАТТЫҚ ҚҰҚЫҚТЫҚ ЖҮЙЕЛЕР МЕН АРАЛАС ҚҰҚЫҚТЫҚ ЖҮЙЕЛЕР: ҚАЗАҚСТАНДА АҒЫЛШЫН ҚҰҚЫҒЫ ЭЛЕМЕНТТЕРІН ПАЙДАЛАНУ САЛДАРЫ

Мария Краузенбек

LL.B., LL.M., «Орталық Азиядағы құқықтық үстемдікке жәрдемдесу»
бағдарламасы бойынша GIZ-кеңесші*

Түйін сөздер: жалпы құқық жүйесі; ағылшын құқығы; имплементация; аралас құқықтық жүйелер; ұлттық құқықтық жүйесі; азаматтық құқық.

Аннотация. Қазіргі уақытта Қазақстан өзінің құқықтық тарихында бұрылу сәтін тартты: прецеденттік құқықтан бастауын алған элементтері құрлықтық құқықтық жүйесінен негізделген ұлттық құқық жүйеге енгізілуі тиіс. Бұл қадам Қазақстан құрлықтық құқықтық жүйесі мен прецеденттік құқық қиылысында орналасқан және құқықтық жүйелерінің гибриді нысаны болып табылатын аралас құқықтық жүйелер бірі болуының білдіруі мүмкін. Ағылшын құқық ережелерін имплементациялау Қазақстанның құқықтық ортасына қандай мән білдіретініне анықтау үшін осы мақалада прецеденттік құқықтық жүйесінің, құрлықтық құқықтық жүйесінің, және әлдеқайда сирек кездесетін аралас құқықтық жүйесінің типтік сипаттамаларына қысқаша шолу ұсынылған. Бұдан басқа, осы мақалада ағылшын құқықтық жүйесінің элементтері Қазақстанда қалай енгізілуінің әр түрлі тәсілдері қарастырылады.

* The views and opinions expressed in this article are those of the author and do not necessarily reflect the official policy or position of the Deutsche Gesellschaft für Internationale Zusammenarbeit (GIZ) GmbH or its commissioning agencies and institutions.

* Бұл мақалада айқындалған көзқарас пен пікірлер авторға тиесілі және де міндетті түрде Deutsche Gesellschaft für Internationale Zusammenarbeit (GIZ) GmbH немесе оның уәкілетті өкілдіктері мен институттарының ресми саясатын немесе ұстанымын көрсетпейді.

* Взгляды и мнения, выраженные в этой статье, принадлежат автору и не обязательно отражают официальную политику или позицию Deutsche Gesellschaft für Internationale Zusammenarbeit (GIZ) GmbH или его уполномоченных представителей и институтов.

ОБЩЕЕ ПРАВО, ГРАЖДАНСКИЕ ПРАВОВЫЕ СИСТЕМЫ И СМЕШАННЫЕ ПРАВОВЫЕ СИСТЕМЫ: ПОСЛЕДСТВИЯ ДЛЯ ПРИМЕНЕНИЯ ЭЛЕМЕНТОВ АНГЛИЙСКОГО ПРАВА В КАЗАХСТАНЕ

Мария Краузенбёк

LL.B., LL.M., советник региональной программы

«Содействие правовой государственности в странах Центральной Азии», GIZ*

Ключевые слова: системы общего права; английское право; имплементация; смешанные правовые системы; национальная правовая система; гражданское право.

Аннотация. В настоящее время Казахстан переживает революционный момент в своей правовой истории: элементы, которые берут свое начало из прецедентного права, должны быть внедрены в национальную правовую систему, которая основана на континентальной правовой системе. Этот шаг может означать, что Казахстан будет являться одной из смешанных правовых систем, которая является гибридной формой правовых систем и находится на пересечении прецедентного права и континентальной правовой системы. Чтобы пролить свет на то, что имплементация положений английского права может означать для правовой среды Казахстана, в настоящей статье представлен краткий обзор типичных характеристик прецедентной правовой системы, континентальной правовой системы, и намного более редко встречающейся, смешанной правовой системы. Кроме того в настоящей статье рассматриваются также различные способы того, каким образом элементы английской правовой системы могут быть внедрены в Казахстане.

Common Law vs. Civil Law

Common law is often also referred to as 'judge-made law'. The main legal principles and rules in common law systems derive mainly from judicial practice. Traditionally, common law statutes – as legislation is referred to in the United Kingdom – only regulate specific issues, rather than determining the broad legal framework. The broad legal framework is based on customary rules, such as morals or good faith and fair dealing, which over the centuries have been developed further by judges when taking decisions in individual cases, and thus created judicial precedent for future cases. Unlike in civilian legal systems, judges in common law systems are allowed to develop the legal rules further whenever there is a gap in the law. However, in order to ensure a consistent treatment of cases by individual judges and consistent legal principles, there are certain rules within which judges must decide their cases.

One of the most important rules in any common law system is the Latin rule of stare decisis: the decision must stand. This rule means that judges have to look at decisions in previous cases when deciding the case before them in order to ensure that similar cases will produce similar results and therefore legal certainty is given due regard. When the facts in the case under consideration are different from previous case-law, the earlier judgment can be distinguished and the new case decided on the basis of its own special circumstances.

It is important to note in this context that the judges do not only look at the result of previous

cases, they look especially at how judges in previous cases arrived at their decisions, that is the legal reasoning behind the judgment. Which basic principles did the judge consider, how did he weigh them against each other and why was a specific interpretation chosen in the end? In order to be able to look at this thought process of the judge, it is very important that the judge writes down in detail how he arrived at his decision and that the judgement is published for other judges and lawyers to read. In this process so-called 'landmark decisions' are especially helpful. Landmark decisions are judgments – often delivered by the Supreme Court or a higher appeal court – that determine a significant new legal principle or concept, or otherwise substantially affect the interpretation of existing law. Often they are considered to represent 'settled law', i.e. the predominant legal opinion. Often they also establish 'tests', usually including a list of requirements, that can be applied by courts in future decisions.

In addition to judicial precedent, the judges are bound by customs and unwritten rules. The most basic of these, for example, are moral standards, but also generally accepted conventions/traditions specific to a country such as the sovereignty of Parliament in the United Kingdom, i.e. that the will of Parliament must stand above anything else. The judge takes these general rules into account when interpreting the case before him. While this means that the judge cannot necessarily operate without any guidance, it still requires the judge to follow rules that often are not written down. While this

might seem surprising at first glance, especially when considered in light of the Soviet tradition of a strict control from the top, such a system has the advantage of being readily adaptable to changing circumstances, without having to go through an – often arduous – legislative procedure.

In stark contrast to this, civilian legal systems are heavily regulated by legislation and judges are not allowed to go beyond what is written down in legislation. Judges in civilian legal systems are of course allowed to interpret legal provisions, however, only within the limits prescribed by legislation. The flexibility to adapt to changing circumstances in civilian legal systems derives from the way legislation is drafted. In civilian legal systems, legislation is usually drafted in a very abstract manner so that the provisions can be adapted by way of interpreting them in light of the given circumstances. For example, where legislation from the 19th century refers to 'zeppelin' as a mode of transportation, we would nowadays read this to also include planes. However, the civil law judge can only adapt the rules insofar as this is, without doubt, discernible from legislation. In interpreting legislation, the judge in a civilian legal system looks to commentaries and academic legal literature for aides to interpretation.

Accordingly, what distinguishes the different types of legal system is the way legal rules and principles are created, rather than their content. In the common law system, it is the judge who distills new rules from previous case-law, whereas in civilian legal systems, it is the legislator who determines the content of legal provisions. Let me demonstrate this by presenting the following example:

A property owner (A) is negotiating with a chain of department stores (B) for the lease of land. As a precondition to their lease, they want an existing building to be demolished and a new one erected. In October the parties agree on the essentials of the contract, such as price, property, duration and they exchange a draft lease. The draft lease then goes back and forth between the parties' solicitors for minor changes. In the interest of time, A already starts to demolish the old building on the land and to build a new building according to B's wishes. In November, the personal relationship between the parties goes sour and B is not so sure anymore if they want to pursue the deal. However, they nevertheless continue working on the draft lease and leave A under the impression that the deal would be completed. In January then, when the new building is about 40% finished, B informs A that they do not intend to proceed with the deal [1]. This is the typical example of a so-called 'handshake deal' where the parties agree

that there will be a deal to later let the lawyers work out the details. What can party A do in this case in order to enforce the deal?

In the common law tradition, party A would rely on the principle of 'estoppel' whereby party B cannot go back on their previous promise to complete the contract because, knowing that A incurred costs by acting on the basis of false assumptions, it was not fair for B to behave the way they did as it encouraged A to demolish the building and build a new building.

In the civilian legal tradition, for example Germany, A could rely on §311 in combination with §241 of the German Civil Code. §311 determines that „in order to create an obligation by legal transaction“ a contract is necessary or „the commencement of contract negotiations“. §241 entitles A to claim performance on the basis of the obligation. In both instances A will be able to enforce the deal with B.

As you can see, the outcome of the case is the same regardless of whether a common law or civil law approach is used. The difference between the principles used is that the principle of estoppel in the common law tradition developed over time on the basis of many different cases. That is why there are, in fact, many different types of estoppel as the concept was broadened and adapted to various circumstances through the years. The full extent of the principle of estoppel only becomes apparent when reading a vast amount of case-law. The German principle, on the other hand, is laid down in a specific provision of the Civil Code which is supplemented by commentaries on the Civil Code written by legal scholars. It is only in rather complex or new cases that the German lawyer will have research previous case-law.

However, this does not mean that the legal thought process in civilian legal systems is less complex. It merely means that the analytical work is done by the commentators (who usually are professors, judges and practitioners) at an abstract level, rather than by the judge and prompted by a specific case. The codes and commentaries in civilian legal systems ordinarily are the result of a collective effort by legal experts. Judicial decisions on the other hand are taken by a single judge or, usually, three judges. Control in the latter system is exercised ex post through the publication of all cases, whereas in civilian legal systems ex ante control is prevalent. Over the centuries, both types of mechanism have proven to be effective. When it comes to deciding which system to favour for a specific society, the socio-cultural dimension of law comes into play. For instance, societies where a tendency to abuse power is prevalent, often have evolved into civilian legal systems. Societies that favour flexibility, on the other

hand, have become common law systems. Yet, in societies where several cultures intermingle, so-called 'mixed legal systems'[1] can arise.

Mixed Legal Systems

Mixed legal systems can take on many different forms. Generally, they are legal systems that contain elements of different kinds of legal system, such as both common law and civil law elements. Often mixed legal systems used to be 'pure' systems, but later had to incorporate foreign elements to due foreign political influence. Scotland, for example, used to be a civilian legal systems with roots in Roman law. However, after the Union of the Crowns in 1603, the country was forced to accept English mercantile law, the doctrine of stare decisis, and other English legislation made applicable to Scotland [2. p. 370.]. A similar example would be Quebec which had a civil code based on French law and and later adopted parts of the English common law such as the doctrine of stare decisis and English procedural law. That is why in the early days of comparative studies, a mixed legal system was generally considered to be 'basically a civilian system that had been under pressure from the Anglo-American common law and has in part been overlaid by that rival system of jurisprudence'[3].

However, through time, the definition of a mixed legal system changed and later, mixed legal systems were defined as having the following characteristics: (i) civil law rules and principles are filtered through Anglo-American institutions, for instance, the common law judge; (ii) judicial decisions are given strong precedential value whether the civil law is codified or not; (iii) civil procedure is adversarial and Anglo-American, with an emphasis on remedies that leaves an imprint on substantive civil law; (iv) common law makes incursions into the civil law sphere by penetrating the most porous point of entry, such as the law of delict, while leaving resistant institutions such as property law relatively unaffected; and (v) commercial law is transformed and replaced by Anglo-American commercial law, because of pressure to conform to the norms of the dominant economy [2. p. 373.].

Despite not fulfilling the above-mentioned criteria, more and more, there is the perception of the EU legal system as a supranational mixed legal system, which is also an alternative way of describing the effects of legal harmonisation on Member States and Europe itself [2. p. 376.] Through EU legislation harmonising rules within certain spheres of both Member States rooted in the civilian legal tradition and common law Member States, elements of both traditions are present within the EU legal order.

Mixed legal systems often are considered 'laboratories of comparative law' and are given

special attention in comparative legal research. However, as Palmer concludes, "In reality all systems are laboratories of comparative law and any system's experience could be of some value for others." [2. p. 379.] The same is true for the further development of the Civil Code of the Republic of Kazakhstan. Even though it is sought to further develop the Civil Code by way of implementation of principles of English law, valuable experience in this venture can be provided by any legal system, mixed or otherwise. In the final part of the present contribution, therefore, the various ways of how some selected principles of English law or their functional equivalents in other legal systems have been implemented will be discussed.

Implementation of English law principles in Kazakhstan

The 'Concept for the improvement of civil legislation of the Republic of Kazakhstan by implementation of English law principles' envisages the transplantation of elements such as judicial precedent; elements of corporate law (e.g. corporations, company acts and memoranda, shareholders' rights, director's duties, corporate social responsibility); estoppel; the doctrine of frustration of contract; rules on representation, warranties and guarantees; indemnity in contract; as well as framework and subscription contracts. It is not possible to deal with all of these within the scope of the present contribution. By way of example, the implementation of judicial precedent and the principle of estoppel will be discussed.

Adoption of judicial precedent – 'Ulgy'

The prominent role of case-law and judicial precedent in England first came about after the conquest of the Normans over the British Isles. The Normans were Francophone and had conquered a largely English-speaking, as well as hostile, territory. Nevertheless, they saw the need for developing a new legal order responsive to Norman and local needs. In lack of a shared language and given that the majority of the population could not read, they concluded that the only way to do this was through a loyal judiciary which was to be supported in their decision-making by a local jury. By imposing on the new permanent judges the obligation to create written testament of their adjudication, a posteriori control could be exercised over their activities by the King. To develop the teaching necessary for the new legal professions, working in the royal courts, English lawyers eventually developed the Inns of Court where the different types of procedures were taught through examples of earlier cases. To ensure legal certainty for the adjudicated, through time, certain cases emerged as 'leading cases' which became binding precedent. Thus was developed the common law as a new form of legal system

as well as the doctrine of judicial precedent [4]

In civil law systems, where a general distrust of the courts was prevalent before the 19th century, judicial precedents enjoy only a persuasive role and constitute a source of 'soft' law. The higher the level of uniformity in past precedents, the greater the persuasive force of case-law. Through time, this judicial practice emerged more and more as a way to ensure certainty, consistency and stability in the legal system which had not been possible to such an extent by codified law on its own. Importantly though, and in stark contrast to common law systems, courts are only required to adhere to previous decisions if there is sufficient uniformity. No single decision binds a court and no relevance is given to split case-law [5]. In addition, generally accepted case-law is referred to in legal commentaries and thus used to inform lawyers of the prevalent interpretation of a given legal provision.

The publication of 'Ulgy', model decisions by the Supreme Court of the Republic of Kazakhstan in any given field of law, will serve to enhance legal certainty and further the dissemination of legal knowledge. However, in the form currently envisaged, they will not amount to judge-made law. For one, the legal reasoning presented in these model decisions will be based on legislation, and only selected decisions will be prepared to serve as guidance for lower instance courts. In addition, the Ulgy could at any point be superseded by new legislation.

While there is no doubt that the publication of Ulgy will further the dissemination of legal knowledge and enhance uniformity of court decisions in the Republic of Kazakhstan, the implementation of such an instrument must be carefully thought through. For instance, in order to utilise such model decisions for lower instance courts to the greatest extent possible, their implementation must go hand in hand with the publication of the full text of the court cases underlying the model decisions. The legal reasoning underlying court decisions more often than not depends on the specific circumstances of the case under consideration. In a situation where lower courts are provided with the legal reasoning behind a decision, however not the underlying circumstances, they might not be able to make full use of the legal guidance thus provided. In the best case, judges at lower instance courts might choose to apply the legal reasoning provided in the Ulgy only to cases that exactly replicate the circumstances of the model decisions provided by the Supreme Court; in the worst case, the judges might run the risk of applying the models provided to cases that differ from the scenario that the Supreme Court had in mind in an essential detail. In addition, as the

number of Ulgy might become substantial over the years, they must be published in an ordered and coherent manner. This could be done as an amended version to the existing commentary on the Civil Code of the Republic of Kazakhstan, in a style similar to German legal commentaries which provide, in the same publication, the text of codes and laws, as well as annotations by scholars and the most relevant court cases. The amended commentary could provide, among other annotations, the Ulgy relevant to a given provision. Such a commentary could constitute the main resource, or a 'one-stop-shop', for judges, practitioners and students seeking to ascertain the correct interpretation of Kazakh civil law.

Estoppel

Estoppel might easily be the most infamous element of English law. It is said to be a principle unique to the English legal system. To understand the value of the principle within the sphere of English law, one must understand how the English law of contracts is based on the idea of consideration (in other words: the idea of 'quid pro quo'). This means that unilateral promises are not enforceable. This is in stark contrast to the contract law in civilian legal systems, which is based on the idea of cause, and therefore effect can be given to unilateral promises. However, not enforcing unilateral promises can, at times, lead to an unfair result. A famous example is the landlord who, during World War II, promised to forego part of the rent due by his tenant as they were unable to find enough tenants due to evacuation of London during the war in any event. At the end of the war the flats became fully let and the landlord demanded the payment of full rent again. It was observed in the judgment that it was not possible for the landlord to be reimbursed for the rent foregone during war times as the tenant had relied on the promise [6]. Out of these circumstances, the principle of estoppel was (re-)born. Importantly, as estoppel is not based on the idea of consideration, it represents an exception within the English law of contracts. It is a fall-back principle, rather general in nature, for situations where, for some reason or another, no formal agreement (such as a contract) has come into existence [7].

Estoppel has many different forms of appearance with 'separate requirements and different terrains of application' [8]. Given the multifarious nature of the concept, it is difficult to grasp and even more difficult to transpose into another legal system. The 'Concept for the improvement of civil legislation of the Republic of Kazakhstan by implementation of English law principles' foresees implementation of the principle only insofar as it concerns promissory estoppel.

Promissory estoppel is one of the more recently introduced types of estoppel [6] It is one of the reliance-based estoppels, i.e. it can be invoked when someone wishes to enforce a promise made without any consideration provided in return [8. pp. 3-90]. In addition, reliance on the promise must have caused detriment to the person trying to invoke the principle of estoppel. Promissory estoppel has four elements (i) an unequivocal promise by words or conduct; (ii) the promise was reasonably relied upon; (iii) detriment resulting for the person relying on the promise; and (iv) fairness requires enforcement of the promise. Promissory estoppel relates to future events, unlike estoppel by representation which relates to a past or present fact that is either true or not [9. p. 701]. The four elements provided above are merely one formulation of the principle, many more exist in the existing case-law. There is no one codified version of the principle in English law. Therefore the question how to transpose the principle into a civilian legal system, such as Kazakhstan, easily suggests itself.

Since 2005, the French Supreme Court for private and criminal matters ('Cour de Cassation') has implemented the concept of estoppel in French arbitration law. It has been described by French courts as a 'rule against self-contradiction to the detriment of others'. This indicates that estoppel is more seen as an instrument for sanctioning parties acting inconsistently or in bad faith, rather than protecting parties relying on representations or promises made by another party with the result of detrimental consequences. It thus has been stated by several French scholars that the same result could therefore also be achieved by recourse to the principle of good faith. What is more, even some courts have expressly stated that the scope of the principle of estoppel, as applied in France, could coincide with the earlier French principle of waiver and that they were not mutually exclusive, therefore could be applied concurrently within the French legal system [10] The principle of estoppel, however, has not been codified in France. Accordingly, it might be useful to look at further example of implementation of the principle within legal systems based on the Roman law tradition.

There are two US federal states that have codified estoppel: Georgia and Louisiana. For present purposes, only the example of Louisiana will be discussed as it is a mixed legal system and therefore will better lend itself for comparison with a civilian legal system [9. p. 695]¹ as it exists in Kazakhstan. A gap in the 'variegated framework' of the mixed legal

system caused the codification of estoppel in Louisiana by amendment to the Civil Code [9. p. 719.]. The amendment was passed in 1984 and entered into force in 1985 [11]. Since then, Article 1967 of the Louisiana Civil Code reads:

'Cause is the reason why a party obligates himself.

A party may be obligated by a promise when he knew or should have known that the promise would induce the other party to rely on it to his detriment and the other party was reasonable in so relying. Recovery may be limited to the expenses incurred or the damages suffered as a result of the promisee's reliance on the promise. Reliance on a gratuitous promise made without required formalities is not reasonable.'

It is clear from the wording of Article 1967 that one of its objectives was to reconcile cause, as a major element of civil contract law, with the principle of promissory estoppel. At first view, one might conclude from the wording of this provision that detrimental reliance was conceived of as an exception to the requirement that every contract must have a cause. However, such a conclusion cannot be right as the preceding article of the Louisiana Civil Code states that 'an obligation cannot exist without a lawful cause' [9. p. 721]. Rather, in this context, the promise is cause for the obligation incurred. For the common law lawyer it is rather odd that the promise only becomes binding under the requisite that the proper formalities are fulfilled. Such a formality could be, for example, the requirement of a notarised document for the gift of land as it would be unreasonable to presume that a land transaction could be effected without the formality [9. p. 735]. Similarly, the 'Concept for the improvement of civil legislation of the Republic of Kazakhstan by implementation of English law principles' envisages that the promise must have been made in writing. This is far from the underlying rationale to the principle of estoppel in English law, which was intended to serve in cases where, for some reason or another, no formalities were followed. Requiring there to be a promise in writing deprives the principle of estoppel of its very essence. In addition, as estoppel is a dynamic common law principle which is inherently flexible, it will not be possible to make it a codified principle while also staying true to its character.

Accordingly, it might be useful to also look at functional equivalents of estoppel in civil law systems. The German Civil Code, in §780 BGB, contains a provision on the 'promise to fulfil an obligation'. It reads:

'For a contract by means of which

¹ The functional equivalent to estoppel in Scots law, personal bar, is of little use in this context as it has not been codified.

performance is promised in such a way that the mere promise is intended to establish the duty (promise to fulfil an obligation) to be valid, to the extent that no other form is specified, it is necessary for the commitment to be made in writing. The commitment may not be made in electronic form.'

The promise to fulfil an obligation under §780 BGB represents a contract creating a unilateral obligation. The contract can be formed independent of the existence of cause or a legal relationship between the parties involved. Therefore the provision is considered to create an abstract contract. Just as is envisaged under the 'Concept for the improvement of civil legislation of the Republic of Kazakhstan by implementation of English law principles', the promise to fulfil an obligation under §780 BGB must be in writing. Given that the existence of cause is not necessary in the case of a promise to fulfil an obligation, the obligation can be enforced more easily. It is up to the party owing the obligation to bring forward the defence of unjustified enrichment or similar. In addition, the German Code of Civil Procedure allows for less stringent standards of proof in the case of claims based on abstract legal obligations [12] and also enables the claimant to obtain a judgment which is provisionally enforceable without providing

any security [13]. This provides both legal certainty and a swift legal instrument to the person who is owed the obligation [14].

Conclusion

Kazakhstan has undergone significant economic development over the last 20 years since the Civil Code of the Republic of Kazakhstan was first passed. Therefore it is necessary to adapt the Civil Code, as well as other civil legislation, to the new economic realities. In implementing reforms, experiences from other legal systems can be most valuable. While the main source of inspiration for the pending reform of civil legislation in Kazakhstan seems to have been English law, in implementing these new elements into the legal system of the Republic of Kazakhstan, and at the same time ensuring coherency and integrity of the system as such, relying on experiences from its brothers and sisters of the civilian legal tradition could prove essential. This means that the reform must necessarily represent a multi-step process: first, the legal elements suitable to fill the regulatory gaps in the civil law of Kazakhstan must be identified; second, comparative studies on functional equivalents in countries with legal systems similar to Kazakhstan are undertaken; and third that the right form of implementation appropriate for the specific needs of Kazakhstan is chosen.

REFERENCE

1. There are various forms of mixed legal system, combining elements of civil law, common law, muslim law and customary law. Mixed legal systems of civil law and common law are found in Botswana, Cyprus, Guyana, Louisiana (United States), Malta, Mauritius, Namibia, Philippines, Puerto Rico, Quebec (Canada), Saint Lucia, Scotland (United Kingdom), Seychelles, South Africa and Thailand. For further detail, see PALMER 'Mixed legal systems' in BUSSANI & MATTEI (eds.), *The Cambridge Companion to Comparative Law*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge: 2012, pp. 368-383 at p. 379 et seq.
2. PALMER, 'Mixed legal systems' in BUSSANI & MATTEI (eds.) *The Cambridge Companion to Comparative Law*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge: 2012, pp. 368-383.
3. SMITH, 'The Preservation of the Civilian Tradition in "Mixed Jurisdictions"' in YANNOPOULOS (ed.), *Civil Law in the Modern World*, Louisiana State University Press, Baton Rouge: 1965, p. 4.
4. GLENN, *Legal Traditions of the World*, Oxford University Press, Oxford: 2007, pp. 224-240.
5. See, also for a more detailed discussion, FON & PARISI, 'Judicial precedents in civil law systems: A dynamic analysis' in 26(2006) *International Review of Law and Economics*, pp. 519-535; see especially pp. 521 & 522.
6. *Central London Property Trust Ltd. v High Trees House Ltd.* [1947] KB 130.
7. It might be interesting to note that the damages for breach of contract are much higher than reliance damages under promissory estoppel. Therefore it is also in the interest of the party seeking the claim to try to establish breach of contract first.
8. PEEL, *Treitel on the Law of Contract*, Sweet & Maxwell, London: 2015, pp. 3-90.
9. SNYDER, 'Comparative Law in Action: Promissory Estoppel, the Civil Law, and the Mixed Jurisdiction' in 3(15) 1998 *Arizona Journal of International and Comparative Law*, pp. 695-751.
10. See CUNIBERTI, 'Enhancing Judicial Reputation through Legal Transplants "Estoppel Travels to France"' in 2(60) 2012 *The American Journal of Comparative Law*, pp. 383-400.
11. See LA Civ Code 1967.
12. See §§592 et seq. ZPO (Code of Civil Procedure).
13. See §708 Nr. 4 ZPO (Code of Civil Procedure).
14. For the preceding paragraph see OETKER & MAULTZSCH, *Vertragliche Schuldverhältnisse*, Springer Verlag, Berlin: 2013, p. 798 et seq.